

# THE *Current*

September 29, 2020

[Sonia Fernandez](#)

## An Unintended Consequence

Life on Earth is all about strategies for survival, with every organism developing behaviors and bodies that maximize chances of staying alive and reproducing while minimizing the likelihood of being injured or eaten.

Fish are one such example. For millions of years, many species have evolved a safety-in-numbers strategy that confuses predators and ensures the survival of the maximum number of individuals as they move about in the ocean. According to scientists at UC Santa Barbara, the Santa Fe Institute and the University of Washington, however, thanks to modern industrial fishing practices and technologies, schooling behavior may become less common.

“The findings from our model suggest that industrial fishing can decrease the tendency of fish to form large groups,” said UCSB graduate student researcher Ana Sofia Guerra, lead author of a [paper](#) published in the journal *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*. This change in behavior has implications for both the fish and their predators, including humans.

“People have been studying fisheries science for centuries,” added marine ecologist and paper co-author [Douglas McCauley](#), an associate professor of ecology, evolution and marine biology at UC Santa Barbara. “But we’ve never considered: Could modern fishing technology be killing schooling behavior?”

Indeed, the capacity to extract large amounts of fish from the ocean has grown in the past several decades, with ships and fleets able to access and fish from even

remote areas of the ocean. Purse-seining and trawling both involve deploying enormous nets that target large groups of fish. Drones and spotter planes increase the efficiency of these mass-capture methods.

“One of the reasons schooling behavior exists is to avoid a predator attack, but by developing technology that can capture entire schools, we have turned the tables on that strategy,” Guerra said.

To better understand this effect, Guerra and McCauley, along with colleagues Albert Kao from the Santa Fe Institute and Andrew M. Berdahl from the University of Washington, constructed an evolutionary fission-fusion model to simulate the behavior of individual schooling fish faced with different levels of predation, both natural and human. The model describes changes in fishes’ preference to form large schools while subjected to different levels of human fishing pressure and natural predation.

The results show that the larger the prevalence of mass-capture practices relative to natural predation, the more individual fish preferences shift toward smaller group sizes.

“Suddenly the sardine in a big school is a lot more vulnerable to a human predator than one that prefers smaller schools or a solitary lifestyle,” Guerra said. Collectively, this change in preference will result in smaller groups and more solitary individuals.

In fact, this change could have several impacts, according to the researchers. For one thing, natural predators that rely on these fish also have evolved hunting methods based on the fishes’ tendencies to travel in large groups.

“We are not the only predators who have figured out how to exploit schooling fish,” Guerra said. Seabirds and pelagic predators often coordinate their attacks. Humpback whales corral herring using ‘bubble nets’ before swooping in, although their ability to exploit schools is not as effective or expansive as that of humans. “By altering schooling behavior, we could actually impact marine predators that rely on schooling fish,” she said.

It’s a socioeconomic issue, too. Foragefish, which include anchovies, herring and sardines, are a large segment of the global fishing industry, valued at \$16.9 billion. The economy and efficiency of their capture makes them the basis of thousands of

livelihoods. Changes in their schooling behavior might require more time, effort and resources to catch them, which puts the fisheries in a vulnerable position.

Is it reversible? Yes, but only after a lag that would allow the fish to evolve their preferences similar to those observed in the absence of fishing, according to the paper.

“If you wanted to reverse the effects of fishing on a fish population’s tendency to school, you would have to reduce fishing to a much lower level than what it took to cause the effect in the first place,” Guerra said.

Especially worrying is their finding that it is difficult to see these impacts on schooling until it is too late — data is incomplete at best. For example, data from purse-seining operations will focus on large schools and ignore the smaller schools. “If what happens in these models is actually playing out in the ocean, it means we may keep catching fish schools, thinking everything is fine,” McCauley said. “Until we catch the last school. And discover that everything is not fine.”

This wouldn’t be the first time large-scale fishing has introduced evolutionary pressures into fish populations. For instance, the practice of selecting for the largest individuals, has, generation over generation, produced smaller adults in some species.

“Because we consistently harvest the largest fish in the population, it has become an advantage for them to be smaller — they are less of a target and therefore more likely to survive and reproduce,” Guerra explained. “With intense fishing pressure, it is also advantageous to reproduce earlier at a smaller size.” This phenomenon has already occurred with salmon in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, in Europe and North America.

This research is an investigation into the area of the behavioral consequences of targeting large schools, a rather underexplored area of fishery science. Most research assumes that fish behaviors do not change.

“Fishery science sometimes tends to treat fish as just numbers on an accounting sheet,” McCauley said. “Our work reminds us that fish are not just numbers. They are living animals with behavior.

“We know from many contexts that hunted animals can shift behavior slowly to evade capture — this seems to be possible for fish as well,” he continued. “Our

models suggest fishing could very easily be changing fish behavior in ways that affect both fish and fishers.”

---

## **About UC Santa Barbara**

The University of California, Santa Barbara is a leading research institution that also provides a comprehensive liberal arts learning experience. Our academic community of faculty, students, and staff is characterized by a culture of interdisciplinary collaboration that is responsive to the needs of our multicultural and global society. All of this takes place within a living and learning environment like no other, as we draw inspiration from the beauty and resources of our extraordinary location at the edge of the Pacific Ocean.